

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/683,766	10/10/2003	Alan Edward Landers	821603-1050	1587
24504	7590 07/12/2005		EXAMINER	
THOMAS, KAYDEN, HORSTEMEYER & RISLEY, LLP 100 GALLERIA PARKWAY, NW			SORKIN, DAVID L	
STE 1750			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
ATLANTA, GA 30339-5948			1723	

DATE MAILED: 07/12/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	لهم	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summany	10/683,766	LANDERS, ALAN EDWARD				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	David L. Sorkin	1723				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status		•				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 30 March 2005.						
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This a	☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This action is non-final.					
) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-12 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 1-7 is/are withdrawn fr 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 8-12 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or		*				
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)						
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 10/10/03 & 3/30/05. 	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	atent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 10/683,766 Page 2

Art Unit: 1723

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- Claims 1-7, drawn to an apparatus including a rotatable agitator, classified in class 366, subclass 279.
- II. Claim 8-12, drawn to a method of repeated activating and deactivating an agitator, classified in class 366, subclass 348.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

- 2. Inventions I and II are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case the method could be practiced without a rotatable agitator, for example using a reciprocating agitator.
- 3. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 4. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for Group I is not required for Group II, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Application/Control Number: 10/683,766 Page 3

Art Unit: 1723

5. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

6. During a telephone conversation with Todd Deveau on 30 June 2005 a provisional election was made with traverse to prosecute the invention of Group II, claims 8-12. Affirmation of this election must be made by applicant in replying to this Office action. Claims 1-7 are withdrawn from further consideration by the examiner, 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a non-elected invention.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

7. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 8. Claim 8 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Cockroft (US 3,548,280). Cockroft ('280) discloses in a particulate matter (see col. 1, lines 36-38) delivery system having a mechanical agitator (24), a method comprising repeatedly activating and deactivating the agitator (see col. 1, lines 55-60; col. 6, lines 57-59).

Application/Control Number: 10/683,766

Art Unit: 1723

9. Claims 8, 9, 11 and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Black (US 6,758,590). Regarding claim 8, Black ('590) discloses in a particulate matter delivery system having a mechanical agitator (206), a method comprising repeatedly activating and deactivating the agitator (see col. 1, lines 60-67; col. 5 line 65 to col. 6 line 25). Regarding claim 9, the step of activating the agitator comprises the step of activating the agitator during a first time interval and the step of deactivating the agitator comprises the step of deactivating the agitator during a second, longer, time interval (see Table 1, i.e. col. 6 lines 19-25). Regarding claim 11, the first time interval is less than 20% of a duty cycle and the second time interval is more than 80% of a duty cycle (see Table 1, i.e. col. 6, lines 19-25). Regarding claim 12, the output of the particulate matter delivery system is metered when the agitator is deactivated (see col. 3 lines 15 to col. 4 line 26).

Page 4

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 10. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 11. Claim 10 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Black (US 6,758,590). In the method of Black ('590) discussed above with regard to claim 9, the exact numerical time values recited in instant claim 10 are not explicitly disclosed. However, Black ('590) recognizes these time value as result effective variables to be

Art Unit: 1723

optimized (see col. 6, lines 1-25); therefore, it would have been to have optimized these recognized result effective variable to suit a particular situation.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to David L. Sorkin whose telephone number is 571-272-1148. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:00 -5:30 Mon.-Fri..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Wanda L. Walker can be reached on 571-272-1151. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

David L. Sorkin Primary Examiner

Art Unit 1723